

Literacy Within Reach: How Home Libraries Impact Reading Outcomes

JULY 2026

CONTACT

For more information about this white paper, please contact Scholastic Research & Validation at ScholasticRV@scholastic.com or visit scholastic.com/research.

Scholastic Research & Validation. (2026). Literacy Within Reach: How Home Libraries Impact Reading Outcomes White Paper. New York: Scholastic.

TM, ® & © 2026 Scholastic Inc. All rights reserved.

Literacy Within Reach:

How Home Libraries Impact Reading Outcomes

JULY 2026



Literacy Within Reach: How Home Libraries Impact Reading Outcomes

Decades of research point to a simple but powerful truth: access to books at home changes outcomes. When students have books readily available beyond the school day, they read more, build stronger literacy skills, and develop the knowledge and vocabulary that support lifelong learning (Evans et al., 2010; Mol & Bus, 2011).

Yet for many students, especially those from historically underserved communities, consistent access to books outside of school remains limited. While classrooms play a critical role in literacy development, they represent only a fraction of students' learning time. What happens at home—where reading can be practiced, extended, and personalized—plays an equally significant role in shaping literacy trajectories.

“*Making children’s books available to children is a cheap and feasible intervention that could change home dynamics to improve the future economic fortunes of children.*”

— Manu, et al.

Home libraries offer a clear, research-backed opportunity to expand access, strengthen reading habits, and close persistent gaps in literacy achievement.



The Problem

Despite decades of progress in literacy instruction, access to books remains uneven and the consequences are cumulative. A substantial number of children grow up with few or no books at home. This lack of access contributes to broader inequities in learning, particularly outside of school hours. Students spend the majority of their waking time outside the classroom, where access to books and opportunities to read vary widely by income and environment (Wherry, 2004).

These disparities are especially visible during extended out-of-school periods, such as summer. Research shows that differences in out-of-school learning environments—not in-school instruction—account for much of the widening achievement gap over time (Alexander et al., 2007; Entwisle et al., 1997).

In communities with limited access to books, often referred to as “book deserts,” children have significantly fewer opportunities to engage with reading materials (Neuman & Moland, 2019). Without consistent access to books at home, students lose critical opportunities to practice reading, build fluency, and develop the stamina required for more complex texts.

“ *Research across 21 nations found that nearly one-third of American families have fewer than 25 books in the home.*

— Evans, et al.



What the Research Shows

The relationship between home libraries and student outcomes is both clear and consistent across decades of research. Access to books in the home is one of the strongest predictors of educational achievement—comparable in impact to parental education and greater than factors like occupation or income (Evans et al., 2010). Students with more books at home:

- Read more frequently and with greater engagement (McQuillan & Au, 2001)
- Develop stronger vocabulary and comprehension skills (Mol & Bus, 2011)
- Achieve higher levels of academic success (Park, 2008)
- Complete more years of education overall (Evans et al., 2010)

The impact begins early and compounds over time. Even **a single book in the home can significantly increase the likelihood that a child is on track in literacy and numeracy** (Manu et al., 2019). Research also shows that reading volume, made possible by access to books, is a key driver of literacy development. Students who read more:

- Encounter significantly more words annually (Anderson & Nagy, 1992)
- Build background knowledge and fluency (Sparks et al., 2014)
- Strengthen comprehension through repeated exposure to language and ideas (Mol & Bus, 2011)

Importantly, access to books outside of school has measurable effects. In a large-scale study, students who received books to build home libraries over multiple summers achieved reading gains equal to—or greater than—those associated with summer school (Allington et al., 2010). The benefits extend beyond academics. Growing up in a book-rich home environment is linked to higher adult literacy, numeracy, and technological skills (Sikora et al., 2018), greater empathy and social understanding (Kidd & Castano, 2013), and improved long-term cognitive health (Weinstein et al., 2021).



Why This Matters

What begins as limited access to books becomes limited access to knowledge, vocabulary, and the background information that makes comprehension possible. Access to books is not simply a literacy issue—it is an access and opportunity issue. When students lack books at home, they lose daily opportunities to practice reading, build confidence, and develop the habits that lead to lifelong learning. Over time, this compounds into gaps in achievement, engagement, and future opportunity. Without consistent exposure to texts, reading becomes more effortful, less rewarding, and easier to avoid—further accelerating the gap between students who read regularly and those who do not.

Conversely, when students have access to books:

- Reading becomes a regular, self-directed activity (Gambrell, 2011)
- Families are more likely to engage in shared reading experiences (Bus et al., 1995)
- Students develop stronger identities as readers (Merga, 2015)

There is a convincing progression of findings when considering the impact of home libraries.

1. Access to home libraries is unequivocally linked to student achievement and to lifelong success.
2. Children without access to reading materials in the home are more likely to suffer learning losses when out of school.
3. Home libraries are one of the best tools schools and communities have to combat learning loss.

These outcomes are foundational because they extend far beyond reading itself. Reading volume and engagement influence not only literacy skills, but also students' ability to read and learn across subjects, think critically, and navigate complex information. As students encounter a wider range of ideas, perspectives, and disciplines through reading, they build the knowledge base required for success in science, social studies, and beyond. They become better equipped to analyze information, make connections, and engage with the kinds of complex texts that define postsecondary education and the modern workforce.

In this way, home libraries serve as a bridge and help to connect school-based learning with the broader environments where students live, grow, and develop.



The Opportunity

The research is clear: expanding access to books in the home is one of the most effective, scalable, and cost-efficient strategies for improving literacy outcomes.

Unlike many interventions, home libraries are:

- **Accessible:** Even small collections of books can have measurable impact (Manu et al., 2019)
- **Scalable:** Programs can be implemented across schools, districts, and communities
- **Sustainable:** Books remain with students, supporting long-term reading habits

Books can act as mirrors that help students see themselves, windows that reveal new perspectives and glass doors that open into the lived experiences of others (Bishop, 1990).

Effective home libraries are not defined by volume alone, but by thoughtful design. Research points to several key elements:

- **A wide variety of texts:** Students benefit from access to diverse genres, formats, and topics, enabling both broad and deep reading experiences (Cleaver, 2020).
- **Student choice and ownership:** When students select their own books, they are more motivated to read and more likely to finish what they start (Guthrie, 2008; Gambrell, 1996).
- **Culturally relevant and reflective content:** Books that serve as both mirrors and windows—reflecting students’ identities while introducing new perspectives—support engagement and empathy (Bishop, 1990).
- **Family engagement:** When caregivers are supported in reading with children, the impact of home libraries increases significantly (Fletcher & Reese, 2005; Koepp et al., 2022).
- **Consistency over time:** Regular access to books, particularly during out-of-school periods, helps prevent learning loss and sustain reading growth (Kim, 2006).

Taken together, these elements transform home libraries from a simple resource into a powerful driver of literacy development.



In Conclusion

Access to books at home is one of the most reliable predictors of literacy success and one of the most actionable opportunities available to educators, schools, and communities. When students have books in their homes, they read more. When they read more, they build the skills, knowledge, and confidence that make future reading and learning possible.

Home libraries are not a supplemental strategy. They are a foundational condition for literacy development, equity, and long-term success. Ensuring that every student has access to books beyond the school day is not just a literacy initiative—it is a commitment to expanding opportunity, one book at a time.



References

- Alexander, K. L., Entwisle, D. R., & Olson, L. S. (2007). Lasting consequences of the summer learning gap. *American Sociological Review*, 72(2), 167–180. doi.org/10.1177/000312240707200202
- Allington, R. L., McGill-Franzen, A., Camilli, G., Williams, L., Graff, J., Zeig, J., Zmach, C., & Nowak, R. (2010). Addressing summer reading setback among economically disadvantaged elementary students. *Reading Psychology*, 31(5), 411–427. doi.org/10.1080/02702711.2010.505165
- Anderson, R. C., & Nagy, W. E. (1992). The vocabulary conundrum. *American Educator*, 14(4), 44–47.
- Bishop, R. S. (1990). Mirrors, windows, and sliding glass doors. *Perspectives*, 6(3), ix–xi.
- Bus, A. G., van IJzendoorn, M. H., & Pellegrini, A. D. (1995). Joint book reading makes for success in learning to read: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 65(1), 1–21. doi.org/10.3102/00346543065001001
- Cleaver, S. (2020). Raising an active reader: *The case for reading aloud to engage elementary school youngsters*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Entwisle, D. R., Alexander, K. L., & Olson, L. S. (1997). *Children, schools, and inequality*. Westview Press.
- Evans, M. D. R., Kelley, J., Sikora, J., & Treiman, D. J. (2010). Family scholarly culture and educational success: Books and schooling in 27 nations. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility*, 28(2), 171–197. doi.org/10.1016/j.rssm.2010.01.002
- Fletcher, K. L., & Reese, E. (2005). Picture book reading with young children: A conceptual framework. *Developmental Review*, 25(1), 64–103. doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2004.08.009
- Gambrell, L. B. (1996). Creating classroom cultures that foster reading motivation. *The Reading Teacher*, 50(1), 14–25.
- Gambrell, L. B. (2011). Seven rules of engagement: What's most important to know about motivation to read. *The Reading Teacher*, 65(3), 172–178. doi.org/10.1002/TRTR.01024
- Guthrie, J. T. (2008). *Engaging adolescents in reading*. Corwin Press.
- Kidd, D. C., & Castano, E. (2013). Reading literary fiction improves theory of mind. *Science*, 342(6156), 377–380. doi.org/10.1126/science.1239918
- Kim, J. S. (2006). Effects of a voluntary summer reading intervention on reading achievement. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 28(4), 335–355. doi.org/10.3102/01623737028004335
- Koepp, A., Gershoff, E. T., & Marteleto, L. (2022). Parent involvement and children's academic achievement. *Child Development*, 93(6), 1744–1759. onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/cdev.13816
- Manu, A., Ewerling, F., Barros, A. J. D., & Victora, C. G. (2019). Association between availability of children's books and literacy-numeracy skills. *Journal of Global Health*, 9(1), 010403. doi.org/10.7189/jogh.09.010403
- McQuillan, J., & Anu, J. (2001). The effect of print access on reading frequency. *Reading Psychology*, 22(3), 225–248. researchgate.net/publication/263175296_The_effect_of_print_access_on_reading_frequency
- Merga, M. K. (2015). Access to books in the home and adolescent engagement in reading. *English in Education*, 49(3), 197–214. doi.org/10.1111/eie.12071
- Mol, S. E., & Bus, A. G. (2011). To read or not to read: A meta-analysis of print exposure. *Psychological Bulletin*, 137(2), 267–296. doi.org/10.1037/a0021890
- Neuman, S. B., & Moland, N. (2019). Book deserts: The consequences of income segregation on children's access to print. *Urban Education*, 54(1), 126–147. doi.org/10.1177/0042085916654525
- Park, H. (2008). Home literacy environments and children's reading performance. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 14(6), 489–505. doi.org/10.1080/13803610802576734
- Sikora, J., Evans, M. D. R., & Kelley, J. (2018). Scholarly culture: How books enhance adult literacy. *Social Science Research*, 77, 1–15. sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0049089X18300607



Sparks, R. L., Patton, J., & Murdoch, A. (2014). Early reading success and reading volume. *Reading and Writing*, 27(2), 189–211. [researchgate.net/publication/257643867_Early_reading_success_and_its_relationship_to_reading_achievement_and_reading_volume_Replication_of_'10_years_later'](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/257643867_Early_reading_success_and_its_relationship_to_reading_achievement_and_reading_volume_Replication_of_'10_years_later')

Weinstein, G., Cohn-Schwartz, E., & Damri, N. (2021). Book-oriented environment and cognitive performance. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 50(3), 274–282. pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34515091/

Wherry, J. H. (2004). The influence of home on school success. *Principal*, 83(4), 6–9.

Distributed by Scholastic Inc.,
557 Broadway, New York, NY 10012
Tracking 2070034, 08/26
Made in Clifton, NJ, USA.
For promotional use only.



scholastic.com/education

5800-46 8/26



880058